# MÖSSBAUER AND INFRARED SPECTROSCOPIC STUDIES OF SOME ORGANOTIN(IV) SCHIFF BASE COMPLEXES

## J. N. R. RUDDICK and J. R. SAMS

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, B.C. (Canada) (Received February 6th, 1973)

#### SUMMARY

Several organotin(IV) complexes with quadri- and terdentate anionic Schiff base ligands have been investigated in the solid state using <sup>119</sup>Sn Mössbauer and IR spectroscopies, Mössbauer parameters derived from both zero-field and magnetically perturbed spectra suggest that the R<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen)(R = Me, Et, Ph) and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Saldap-2-OH) complexes have similarly distorted *trans*-octahedral structures. However, in Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(HSaldap-2-O) the ligand appears to be only terdentate, leading to a pentacoordinate structure similar to those of the R<sub>2</sub>Sn(Sal-N-2-OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) derivatives (R = Me, Ph). For Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn(Sal-N-2-OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) the asymmetry parameter of the electric field gradient is close to unity, confirming a *mer*-octahedral configuration for this complex.

### INTRODUCTION

Considerable attention has been directed recently towards organotin complexes with Schiff bases<sup>1-8</sup>, although interpretations of the results are often at variance. For example, Mössbauer spectra<sup>4,5</sup> for some adducts of the type R<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>-(H<sub>2</sub>Acacen) [H<sub>2</sub>Acacen = N,N'-ethylenebis(acetylacetone imine) (I)] suggest octahedral structures with *trans* tin-carbon bonds in the solid state, but there is uncertainty about the dispositions of the remaining groups attached to tin. Smith and Dodd<sup>4</sup>



assume a *cis*-dichloro arrangement with the neutral ligand acting as a bidentate chelating group, whilst Barbieri *et al.*<sup>5</sup> favour a *trans*-dichloro arrangement in which (I) acts as a bridging group, leading to a polymeric structure.

Relatively fewer studies have been reported<sup>3.7.8</sup> on diorganotin(IV) complexes of dianionic quadridentate Schiff bases, such as  $R_2Sn(Salen)$  [H<sub>2</sub>Salen=N,N'-ethyl-enebis(salicylaldimine) (II)]. The PMR spectrum of Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) is complex<sup>3.7</sup>,

with two singlets observed for the  $SnMe_2$  resonance while the Salen C(H)=N and



 $(CH_2)_2$  protons are also each observed as two sharp lines. Murray and co-workers<sup>7</sup> interpret these results in terms of a *cis*-dimethyl arrangement and a non-planar quadridentate Salen ligand, although Kawakami *et al.*<sup>3</sup> suggest a mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers in solution. Mössbauer measurements on the solid complex have been interpreted<sup>8</sup> in terms of a distorted *trans*-dimethyl structure, and this has been confirmed<sup>9</sup> very recently by X-ray crystallography. The X-ray structure shows a nearly planar Sn(ONNO) group and C-Sn-C angle of 160°. It seems likely that the complicated NMR spectrum of this complex in solution is due either to facile decomposition, reaction with the solvent (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), fluxional behaviour, or some combination of these effects.

The present work was undertaken with a view to studying the solid state structures of several related diorganotin (IV)-Schiff base derivatives via infrared and Mössbauer spectroscopies. In particular it was hoped that magnetically perturbed Mössbauer spectra, from which one can deduce both the sign of the <sup>119</sup>Sn quadrupole coupling constant ( $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$ ) and magnitude of the asymmetry parameter ( $\eta$ ), would provide a sensitive tool for structure elucidation in such complexes. In addition to (II), the Schiff bases employed were N,N'-(2-hydroxytrimethylene)bis-(salicylaldimine) [H<sub>2</sub>Saldap-2-OH, (III)] and N-(2-hydroxyphenyl)salicylaldimine [HSal-N-(2-HOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>), (IV)]. (III) differs from (II) only in that the ethylene bridge between the



two salicylaldimine moieties is replaced by a 2-hydroxytrimethylene bridge, whereas (IV) is potentially terdentate rather than quadridentate.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

The  $R_2$ Sn derivatives of (II) (R = Me, Et, Ph) and the  $Me_2$ Sn derivatives of (III) and (IV) were prepared by published procedures<sup>7,10</sup>. Analytical data for these and the other complexes reported here are given in Table 1.

### TABLE 1

Compound	Found (calcd.) (%)				
	C	Н	N	Sn	
Me <sub>2</sub> Sn(Salen)	51.75	5.07	6.77	28.8	
	(52.10)	(4.82)	(6.75)	(28.63)	
Et <sub>2</sub> Sn(Salen)	54.27	5.69	6.51	• •	
	(54.20)	(5.42)	(6.32)		
Ph <sub>2</sub> Sn(Salen)	62.18	4.64	4.88	24.8	
- 、 ,	(62.36)	(4.45)	(5.19)	(24.56)	
Me <sub>2</sub> Sn(Saldap-2-OH)	51.24	5.19	6.51	26.8	
	(51.26)	(4.95)	(6.29)	(26.71)	
Ph <sub>2</sub> Sn(HSaldap-2-O)	61.66	5.04	4.59	20.5	
	(61.18)	(4.57)	(4.92)	(20.89)	
$Me_2Sn(Sal-N-2-OC_6H_4)$	49.71	4.22	3.73	33.1	
	(50.01)	(4.17)	(3.89)	(33.01)	
$Ph_2Sn(Sal-N-2-OC_6H_4)$	62.32	4.26	2.64	24.7	
_ • • •	(62.01)	(3.93)	(2.89)	(24.56)	
Ph₃Sn(Sal-N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	66.24	4.81	2.27	21.4	
	(66.22)	(4.45)	(2.49)	(21.15)	

ANALYTICAL DATA FOR ORGANOTIN(IV) SCHIFF BASE COMPLEXES

## Reaction of triphenyltin hydroxide with H<sub>2</sub>Salen

1.83 g (5.0 mmol) of triphenyltin hydroxide and 0.67 g (4.0 mmol) of H<sub>2</sub>Salen were azeotropically distilled in benzene for 4 h. Upon cooling a yellow solid was precipitated, whose analytical data, melting point, IR and Mössbauer spectra were identical to an authentic sample of Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen).

## Preparation of Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn[Sal-N-(2-OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)]

0.72 g (4.0 mmol) of freshly prepared diphenyltin oxide and 0.53 g (4.0 mmol) of  $H_2$ Sal-N-(2-HOC<sub>6</sub> $H_4$ ) were refluxed azeotropically in benzene for 1.5 h. The solution was concentrated under vacuum, and orange-brown crystals formed upon standing. Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(HSaldap-2-O) was prepared in a similar manner from diphenyltin oxide and H<sub>2</sub>Saldap-2-OH.

# Preparation of $Ph_3Sn[Sal-N-(2-HOC_6H_4)]$

0.73 g (2.0 mmol) of triphenyltin hydroxide and 0.43 g (2.0 mmol) of the Schiff base were refluxed in benzene for 3 h, during which the water formed by the reaction was removed. Upon cooling an orange precipitate appeared which was collected by filtration and recrystallised from benzene.

## Spectroscopic measurements

Infrared spectra in the range 4000–250  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were recorded on a Perkin– Elmer 457 instrument, with the samples usually as nujol mulls.

Mössbauer measurements in zero magnetic field were made using a spectrometer which has been described<sup>11</sup>, the finely powdered samples being maintained at liquid nitrogen temperature. The room-temperature source was 10 mC BaSnO<sub>3</sub>, and isomer shifts are reported relative to this source. The data were least-squares

(continued on p. 240)

# TABLE 2

# INFRARED SPECTRA IN THE REGION 1700-250 cm<sup>-14</sup>

H <sub>2</sub> Salen	Me <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	Et <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	Ph <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	H <u>-</u> Saldap- -2-OH	Me2Sn(Saldap- -2-OH)
 1637(sh)	1640 m (sh)	 1640 (sh)	 1640 (sh)	1635 (sb)	1640 (sh)
1633 vs	1630 (sh)	1630 vs		1630 vs	1630 vs
	1621 vs	1628 (sh)	1620 s		1620 vs
1612 s	1600 s	1599 s	1 <b>600 s</b>	1610 s	. 1600 s
1580 vs	1578 w	1579 m		1575 s (br)	1575 m
1565 m			1560 (sh)		1561 w
	1535 s	1542 s	1532 s `		
	1528 ш	1535 (sh)	1525 (sh)		1526 т
	15 al (sh)		• •		1520 ш
1498 vs	1514 w	1495 т	1510 w	1495 (sh)	
1485 s			1480 т	1490 s	1490 m
1470 s <b></b> ⁼	1460 s		1460 s		
1450 s	1450 m	1440 m	1442 s		1450 s
1440 s					
1420 s		ţ	1425 m	1415 m	1420 (sh)
	1405 s	1408 s	1405 s		1390 s
1370 ш		· 1398 m			
				1350 m	
1343 w (sh)	1344 s	1345 s	1340 s (br)	1340 m	1345 s
			1338 (sh)		
1318 ш	1315 s (br)	1318 s		1310 w	1324 s
		1298 s	1300 m (br)		
1294 ш	1288 w	1288 s			•
1284 s				1275 s	1280 s
	1262 vw	1265 vw	1263 w		
1248 m	1245 ш	1245 w	1245 w	1245 m	1235 w
1220 m	1200 vw	1 <u>22</u> 0 w		1215 (sh)	
1200 s	1190 s	1190 s	1185 m	1202 s	1190 s
1150 ve	1157 s	1150 s	1147 s	1154 m	1149 c
1170 42	11,72,3	1120.3	1147 3	1134 11	1140 5
	1130 m	1130 т	1131 m	1145 ш	1130 w
	1125 (sh)			1125 w	
1115 m		,		1112 т	1118 m
1105 m (sh)	1092 w	1095 w	1085 w	1100 m	1100 w
			1070 w	ш 0801	1090 m
			1076 w		
		1055 vw			
1043 s	1045 m	1043 m	1040 w	1045 s	1040 s
	1031 m	1035 m (sh)	1030 w	1033 s	
1020 vs		1020 m	1025 w (sh)	1024 s	1022 s
	988 w	980 m	985 w		
	078	070 -	073	968 m	069
987 m	9/X VW	7717115	7/1 9	700 00	708 00 0051

236

. .

		·	· · ·		
Ph <sub>2</sub> Sn[HSaldap- -2-0]	HSal-N- -(2-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	Me2Sn(Sal- -N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H4)	Ph₂Sn(Sal- -N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H₄)	Ph <sub>3</sub> Sn(Sal- -N-2-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	Suggested assignments
1638 (sh)	1640 (sh)				
1630 vs	1632 s		1628 ш	1620 (sh)	ν(C=C)
1617 vs	1620 s	1610 vs	1605 s	1608 s	v(C=N)
1600 s	1590 s	1590 s	1592 s	1592 s	v(C=C)
1580 ш		1585 m	1580 т		
		1565 m	1567 (sh)		
1540 m			1538 s (br)	1545 m )	v(C=N)
15 <b>35</b> s	1 <i>5</i> 30 s	1530 m 1512 m	1530 m	1538 m (sh)	()
1497 ш					Phenyl
					ring
	1485 s	1480 s	1487 s	1490 m	8
	1,000	1.000	1,0, 1	1480 s	
	1470 m				
1440 5	1445 s	1450 s	1442 m	)	v(C−N)
1430 m	11.50	1.500	1437 m	1435 m (	·(C 1)
1430 m	1420 m			1425 m	
1400 c	1 (20 m	1300 c	1396 c	1202 m )	(C-NI)
1400 3	1390 e	1390 3	1367 -	1393 III {	
1354 m	1990 2		1307 11	)	
1344 s			1348 w		v(C-O)
1377 s		1776	1342 w		r(C=O)
1317 s (br)	1310 -	1330 m	1342 W	1307 -	··(C_O)
1517 3 (01)	151011	1327 m	1317 5	1307 10	r(C=O)
		1300 11	1300 8	1384	
1280 s	1775 5		1285 m	1284 m	\$(O II)
12003	12/53	1769 .	1260 -	1769 -	<i>b</i> (O-H)
1247 m	1747 -	1208 3	1207 11	1200 5	
1270 m	124/ III 1725 e	1244 10	1217 -	1319	
1205 m)	1225 S	1212 11	1217 11	1210 5	
1200 m	1205 (30)				
1195 w	1180 w	1100 11/		1100	
	1100 #	1175 w	1172 -	1175 -	
1150 s	1165 m	1175 w	11/2 01	1175 m	a Disubat
11503		11503	114/5	11003	
1130 c	1143 -	1122 m	1127 -	1120 -	phenyi
1150.5	1143 2	1152 11	1157 5	113010	
1120 -	1120 -	1110	1100		
1120 m	[100	1110 ₩	1109 W	1116 Ш	
1075 -	1100 W		1077	1073	
1075 m			10/3 m	10/2 m	
10/0 m			1004 W		
1037 m		1040		1040	
1042 5	1070 -	1040 W		1040 m	
1000 -	1030 m	1075	1030 m	100 - (1)	<b>.</b>
5 C2UI	1018 m	1025 W	1025 11	1025 (SE)	<i>o-Di</i> subst. phenyl
985 W		0.0			
975 m	970 w	966 ш	972 w		<i>o</i> -Disubst. phenyl

(continued)

TABLE 2 (	continued)
-----------	------------

238

H₂Salen	Me <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	Et <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	Ph <sub>2</sub> SnSalen	H₂Saldap- -2-OH	Me₂Sn (Saldap- -2-OH)
970 т	950 m	945 w	. 950 w		
936 ш				936 m	940 w
900 m	904 т	905 s	903 ш		903 ш
	890 w	885 w		890 m 881 m	885 ш
855 s (br)	858 (sh)	855 s	858 w	865 m	865 w
809 w	852 m	845 m	848 w	850 m 835 m	810 m
770 s	780 m	775 m (br)	783 w	784 m	775 s
				765 s	765 s
755 vs 750 ve	760 s 750 (ch)	755 s 748 vs	760 ⊞ 753 s	755 s 746 vs	755 s
/ 00 43	100 (311)	740 43		.40 13	
740 vs	740 т	740 (sh)	731 s	731 s	732 s
720 s	7 <u>22</u> w	720 ш	723 (sh)	718 m	
			698 ш		
	(10	( 15	655 w	656 m	635 m
045 m	040 W	043 W	033 w	640 w	
			620 vw		
	600 т	605 m	600 ш	595 w	600 s
	575 (sh)	585 s	575 w	585 w	580 s
~~~	568 s	568 W		56D	572 s
560 m	554 (ch)			548 w	550 (sh)
, јо ш	J)+(U)		533 w	510	000 (02)
	521 m	525 (br)			520 w
185 m	485 w	486 w	475 w		495 s
170 m.	460 m	460 w			479 ш
	448 w	443 w		458 ш	460 w
			463 s		
430 W			437 8	410	418 m
	400 m	390 m	397 m	410 w 400 w	388 e
175 m	370 m	370 m	368 m	170 w	370 m (sh)
360 m	345 m	355 m	340 m	355 w	эло <u>ш</u> (сц)
юш	J4J III	555 m	540 M	555	330 m
315 s	320 w			320 w	321 m
			300 m (br)		300 vw
280 w	280 ш		280 vw	-	
ż					-
					260 m

250 т

Ph₂Sn[HSaldap- -2-O] 	HSal-N- -(2-HOC <sub>6</sub> H₄)	Me₂Sn(Sal- -N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H₄)	Ph₂Sn(Sal- -N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H₄)	Ph <sub>3</sub> Sn(Sal- ↓ -N-2-HOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	Suggested assignments
950 m					
935 w			925 т	932 w	· .
925 m)	914 ш	920 m	919 m	920 w	
917 ш∫					
900 m	903 m			908 w	
890 ш					
		871 w	875 m	878 s	
	894 w	852 <del>w</del>	855 m	858 w	
850 m			842 m	845 w	
846 m		831 m	833	_	
788 ш		770 m	780 ш	774 m	
762 vs	765 s				
751 s				756 ш	
740 ш	741 s	750 s	748 s	748 ш	<i>o</i> -Disubst. phenyl
730 s	727 s	730 vs	730 s	730 (sh)	<i>o</i> -Disubst. phenyl
724 vs			725 vs	72 <u>5</u> s	• •
693 s			693 s	698 s	
652 w			657 w		
643 w			657 w		
615 vw		610 ш	625 vw	618 vw	6b ( <i>B</i> _) phenyl ring
598 m		600 m	605 т	582 m	v(Sn–O)
	570 w	568 т	570 w	568 (sh)	v <sub>ar</sub> (Sn–C)
550 m	548 m				
535 (sh)	523 m	527 m	536 s		
		520 п			v <b>,(Sn−C)</b>
		485 ш		490 w	
465 w	475 s	470 m	475 s		
455 w	450 w				
445 s			448 ш	453 )	16b ( <i>B</i> <sub>1</sub> )
435 s	435 w	435 w	436 m	445 s )	phenyl ring
415 w	420 w				
400 w				402 m	v(Sn-N)
355 w		352 m	357 m	344 т	v(Sn-N)
345 m	340 w				
320 ш	325 w 295 m (br)	318 m	318 m	312 m	
280 m	N/			280 m	
270 m			270 ш	270 щ	væ(Sn- phenyl)

" vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; vw, very weak; (br), broad; (sh), shoulder.

fitted to Lorentzian line shapes using a programme which imposes no constraints on the fitting parameters. The estimated precision of these measurements is  $\pm 0.05$  mm s<sup>-1</sup>.

Magnetically perturbed Mössbauer spectra were recorded with both source and absorber at 4.2 K. The absorber was stationed at the centre of a Westinghouse superconducting solenoid, and magnetic fields up to 50 kG were applied parallel to the direction of the  $\gamma$ -ray beam. The source was driven vertically by an Austin Science Associates model S3 drive unit mounted in the vacuum space of the cryostat. Care was taken to ensure that the source was outside the fringing field of the magnet. Data were fitted to theoretically computed spectra using a programme written by J. C. Scott<sup>12</sup>. The input parameters were the magnitude of the applied field  $(H_{app})$ , zero-field quadrupole splitting  $(\Delta E_Q)$  and linewidth  $(\Gamma)$ , and the sign of  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  (which in every case was obvious from a visual inspection of the data). The asymmetry parameter was then varied to obtain the best fit between observed and calculated spectra.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Infrared data in the 1700–250 cm<sup>-1</sup> region for the Schiff base ligands (II)–(IV) and the organotin complexes derived from them are given in Table 2. The spectra are very complicated and in many cases band assignments cannot be made with certainty. Tentative assignments for a number of the bands, arrived at largely by comparison with previously published suggestions for closely related ligands and complexes<sup>1,2,7-9,13-25</sup>, are given in the last column of Table 2.

Our discussion will centre primarily on the <sup>119</sup>Sn Mössbauer data given in Table 3, with supporting evidence from the IR spectra. The  $\Delta E_Q$  values for the R<sub>2</sub>Sn-(Salen) complexes lie at the low end of the range normally observed for *trans*-R<sub>2</sub>SnX<sub>4</sub>

# TABLE 3

Compound	$\delta^{a}(mm \cdot s^{-1})$	$\Delta E_{\rm Q}(mm \cdot s^{-1})$	$\Gamma_1(mm \cdot s^{-1})$	Γ_(mm-s <sup>-1</sup> )
Me-Sn (Salen)	1.13	+ 3.42	1.01	1.02
Et, Sn (Salen)	1.25	3.31	1.13	1.13
Ph_Sn(Salen)	0.88	+2.88	1.05	1.02
Ph,Sn(Salen)	0.88	2.86	1.13	1.14
Me,Sn(Saldap-2-OH)	1.16	3.31	1.09	1.14
Ph,Sn(HSaldap-2-O)	0.95	+ 2.05	0.92.	1.00
$Me_{3}Sn(Sal-N-2-OC_{6}H_{4})$	1.14	+ 3.04	1.23	1.25
Ph,Sn(Sal-N-2-OC,H <sub>4</sub> )	0.99	2.19	0.99	0.86
Ph <sub>3</sub> Sn(Sal-N-2-OC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> )	1.20	2.88	1.11	1.10
Me <sub>2</sub> SnCl(Ox) <sup>e</sup>	1.26	3.12		
Ph <sub>2</sub> SnCl(Ox) <sup>6</sup>	1-1 <b>2</b>	2.40		

MÖSSBAUER PARAMETERS FOR THE COMPLEXES AT 90 K

<sup>a</sup> With respect to BaSnO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature.<sup>b</sup> Sample prepared from Pb<sub>3</sub>SnOH and H<sub>2</sub>Salen. <sup>c</sup> Ref. 2.

compounds<sup>26,27</sup>. This is not unexpected in view of the reported<sup>9</sup> C-Sn-C angle of 160° in the methyl derivative. Since it is the organic groups which largely determine the magnitude of  $\Delta E_Q$ , the rather large departure of the Me<sub>2</sub>Sn fragment from linearity is reflected in a reduced quadrupole splitting. The very smimilar  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_Q$  values

found for dimethyl and dicthyltin (Salen), together with the IR data, leave little doubt that these derivatives have similarly distorted *trans*-octahedral structures in the solid state.

Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) exhibits significantly lower values of  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_Q$  than do the alkyltin compounds. Some lowering of both parameters is expected from electronegativity considerations and the differences observed here are not inconsistent with trends observed in similar series of presumably isostructural compounds<sup>21,26,27</sup>. For example, a recent study of a large number of Lewis base adducts of R<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>(R = Me, Ph)<sup>21</sup> shows differences in  $\delta$  values between corresponding methyl and phenyl derivatives ranging from 0.10 to 0.26 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, compared to 0.25 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for the Salen complexes. Table 4 gives quadrupole splitting data for eight pairs of dimethyl and diphenyltin compounds, all of which are either believed or known to have *trans*-octahedral structures. In every case the methyl derivative shows the larger splitting, the differences ranging from 0.32 to 0.61 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, there is nothing unusual about

## TABLE 4

<sup>119</sup>Sn MÖSSBAUER QUADRUPOLE SPLITTINGS FOR SOME PAIRS OF *trans*-OCTAHEDRAL DIMETHYL- AND DIPHENYLTIN DERIVATIVES

ΔE <sub>Q</sub> (Me) (mm·s <sup>-1</sup> )	ΔE <sub>Q</sub> (Ph) (mm·s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta[\Delta E_{Q}(Me-Ph)]$	Ref.
4.32	3.80	0.52	35
4.08	3.51	0.57	36, 37
4.00	3.39	0.61	38, 37
4.18	3.86	0.32	21
4.19	3.73	0.46	21
4.02	3.47	0.55	21
3.50	3.01	0.49	39
3.58	3.24	0.34	39
	Æ	Ag. 0.48	
	$\Delta E_{Q}(Me)$ (mm · s <sup>-1</sup> ) 4.32 4.08 4.00 4.18 4.19 4.02 3.50 3.58	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}}(Me) & \Delta E_{\mathbf{Q}}(Ph) \\ (mm \cdot s^{-1}) & (mm \cdot s^{-1}) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 4.32 & 3.80 \\ 4.08 & 3.51 \\ 4.00 & 3.39 \\ 4.18 & 3.86 \\ 4.19 & 3.73 \\ 4.02 & 3.47 \\ 3.50 & 3.01 \\ 3.58 & 3.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \Delta E_{\rm Q}(Me) & \Delta E_{\rm Q}(Ph) & \Delta [\Delta E_{\rm Q}(Me-Ph)] \\ (mm \cdot s^{-1}) & (mm \cdot s^{-1}) & (mm \cdot s^{-1}) \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 4.32 & 3.80 & 0.52 \\ 4.08 & 3.51 & 0.57 \\ 4.00 & 3.39 & 0.61 \\ 4.18 & 3.86 & 0.32 \\ 4.19 & 3.73 & 0.46 \\ 4.02 & 3.47 & 0.55 \\ 3.50 & 3.01 & 0.49 \\ 3.58 & 3.24 & 0.34 \\ & & Ag. 0.48 \end{array}$

<sup>a</sup> Bipy 2,2'-bipyridine. <sup>b</sup> Py pyridine. <sup>c</sup> PyO pyridine-N-oxide. <sup>d</sup> Terpy 2,2',2"-terpyridine.

the difference of 0.54 mm s<sup>-1</sup> observed for the  $R_2Sn(Salen)$  complexes, and no apparent reason to doubt that  $Ph_2Sn(Salen)$  also has a distorted *trans*-octahedral structure, very similar to that of the methyl derivative.

Barbieri and Herber<sup>8</sup> have recently arrived at somewhat different conclusions concerning the structure of  $Ph_2Sn(Salen)$ , primarily on the basis of some very crude point-charge calculations. These authors employ the Parish and Platt<sup>28</sup> empirical scale of partial quadrupole splitting (*p.q.s.*) values for methyl and phenyl groups together with the assumption that the contribution of the Salen oxygen and nitrogen atoms to the electric field gradient at tin is the same as that due to four chlorides. Then using C-Sn-C angles of 160° for both molecules Barbieri and Herber<sup>8</sup> compute  $|\Delta E_Q|$  values of 3.68 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) and 3.27 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen). Agreement with the measured values is considered<sup>8</sup> to be satisfactory in the former case but not in the latter, and it is inferred that "the introduction of two phenyl groups into the quasi axial (*trans*) positions with respect to the metal atom would involve considerable steric interaction between the organic ligand and the tetradentate Salen<sup>2-</sup> moiety<sup>-8</sup>. Thus they suggest considerably more distortion for the phenyl complex, in order to account for the lower  $\Delta E_0$ .

We have measured the Mössbauer spectra of both Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) and Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) in applied longitudinal magnetic fields of 50 kG. Comparison of theoretical and observed spectra (see Fig. 1) reveals that in both cases  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  is positive and  $\eta = 0.5 \pm 0.1$ . (Barbieri and Herber<sup>8</sup> erroneously assume  $\eta = 0$  for the methyl derivative.) The sign of the coupling constant is that expected for a *trans*-octahedral species, and the  $\eta$  values show that the departure of the *e.f.g.* tensor from axial symmetry is essentially the same in the two molecules. While these results do not constitute a structure proof for the phenyl complex, they do suggest that its structure is not likely to be very different from the methyl derivative.



Fig. 1. Mössbauer spectrum of Ph<sub>1</sub>Sn(Salen) at 4.2 K in an applied longitudinal magnetic field of 50 kG. The velocity scale is relative to the centroid of the zero-field spectrum (add 0.88 mm·s<sup>-1</sup> to convert to BaSnO<sub>3</sub>). The solid line is the theoretically computed spectrum assuming  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q + 2.88$  mm·s<sup>-1</sup> and  $\eta$  0.50.

From the strong similarity in Mössbauer parameters for Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) and Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Saldap-2-OH), we conclude that these two compounds also have similar structures, with the Saldap-2-OH anion acting as a quadridentate group. Further support for this conclusion derives from IR spectra in the  $\nu$ (O-H) region. Neither of the ligands (II) nor (IV) shows a band in the anticipated region 3600-3200 cm<sup>-1</sup>, presumably because of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the phenolic oxygens and the nitrogen atoms. Such hydrogen bonding is not expected for the hydroxyl group on the bridging aliphatic chain in (III), where  $\nu$ (O-H) is seen at 3450 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This band is also present in Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Saldap-2-OH) shifted to 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that the propan-2-ol functional group is not involved in bonding to tin.

On the other hand this band is absent in the spectrum of the diphenyltin derivative of (III), where only a weak, broad absorption at  $3200-3160 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is observed. This suggests that at least one phenolic oxygen is not used in bonding and that the tin-oxygen bonding probably involves the other phenolic oxygen and the oxygen atom in the propane chain. Thus, the anion of (III) appears to be acting here as a bidentate, or more likely terdentate ligand, leading to tetra-or pentacoordination



Fig. 2. Mössbauer spectra of (a)  $Me_2Sn(Saldap-2-OH)$  and (b)  $Pb_2Sn(HSaldap-2-O)$  at liquid nitrogen temperature. The velocity scale is relative to  $BaSnO_3$ .

for the tin atom. For this reason we have formulated the complex as Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(HSaldap-2-O). The <sup>119</sup>Sn quadrupole splitting is also consistent with this compound having a different structure from the R<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) complexes and the dimethyltin derivative of (III).  $\Delta E_Q$  is 0.83 mm  $\cdot$ s<sup>-1</sup> smaller than the value for Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) and 1.26 mm  $\cdot$ s<sup>-1</sup> smaller than the splitting in Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Saldap-2-OH). For a trigonal bipyramidal R<sub>2</sub>SnX<sub>2</sub>Y structure with equatorial R groups, one expects a positive quadrupole coupling constant and an asymmetry parameter which is fairly large. In an applied magnetic field of 50 kG we find  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q > 0$  and  $\eta = 0.7 \pm 0.1$  for Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(HSaldap-2-O), in agreement with these expectations. (We should point out that if the R groups were both in apical positions  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  would also be positive but  $\eta$  should be small, while for one apical and one equatorial R group  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  would be negative. These two possibilities can therefore be ruled out.)

The fact that  $Me_2Sn(Salen)$  and  $Ph_2Sn(Salen)$  appear to be structurally similar whereas the corresponding complexes formed from (III) show such pronounced differences is quite interesting. This suggests that with a bulky diorganotin moiety the latter ligand is able to reduce ring strain in the complex by utilizing the propan-2-ol functional group for coordination to the tin atom.

Both the dimethyl- and diphenyltin derivatives of (IV) show  $\Delta E_Q$  values consistent with equatorial R groups and trigonal bipyramidal coordination at tin. Indeed, the values are quite similar to those observed<sup>2</sup> in the closely related R<sub>2</sub>SnCl-(Ox) (HOx = 8-hydroxyquinoline) compounds (Table 3). For Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Sal-N-2-OC<sub>6</sub>-

H<sub>4</sub>) application of a 50 kG magnetic field reveals that  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  is positive and  $\eta = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ , essentially identical to the results for Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn(HSaldap-2-O). While the difference in  $\Delta E_Q$  values of 0.85 mm s<sup>-1</sup> between the two complexes of (IV) may at first appear to suggest the possibility of different structures, we doubt that such is actually the case. It should be noted that the difference in the corresponding chloro-oxinates is nearly as large (0.73 mm s<sup>-1</sup>), and for the pentacoordinate derivatives R<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>-(R<sub>2</sub>SO) (R'=Me, Et, Pr, Bu) Liengme, *et al.*<sup>21</sup> report an average difference in  $\Delta E_Q$  of 0.78 mm s<sup>-1</sup> between corresponding methyl- and phenyltin complexes.

The triphenyltin derivative of (IV) exhibits an appreciably greater splitting than does the diphenyltin complex, and poses an interesting question concerning its structure. The  $\Delta E_Q$  value of 2.88 mm s<sup>-1</sup> would certainly be consistent with a trigonal bipyramidal structure with the three phenyl groups in the equatorial plane (cf. Ph<sub>3</sub>SnCl 4-phenylpyridine,  $\Delta E_Q$  2.90 mm s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>29</sup>. This would imply that the Schiff base anion is acting as a bidentate bridging group leading to a polymeric structure. Another possibility which suggests itself, however, is a mer-octahedral structure (V) in which the ligand is terdentate, and which would also be expected<sup>30</sup> to produce a quadrupole splitting of about 3 mm s<sup>-1</sup> (A fac-octahedral configuration can be



eliminated since all three phenyls would be *trans* to an electronegative group and  $\Delta E_{\rm Q}$  should be approximately zero<sup>30</sup>.) The use of magnetic perturbation Mössbauer spectroscopy is particularly attractive in this case since the two likely structures should yield very different results. For the pentacoordinate structure one expects  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  to be negative and  $\eta \approx 0$ , whereas the *mer*-octahedral case should show  $\eta \approx 1$  with the sign of the *e.f.g.* depending on fine details of the electronic distributions in Sn-O and Sn-N bonds and the values of the bond angles at the tin atom. In fact, for a *mer*-R<sub>3</sub>SnX<sub>3</sub> system  $V_{xx}=0$ ,  $V_{yy}=-V_{zz}$  and  $\eta=1$  (the  $V_{ii}$  are the diagonal elements of the *e.f.g.* tensor). In an applied field of 50 kG, the Mössbauer spectrum of Ph<sub>3</sub>Sn-(Sal-N-2-OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) is nearly symmetrical in appearance, and we estimate that  $\eta \approx 0.9$  with  $e^2 \cdot q \cdot Q$  negative. A detailed fit to theoretically computed spectra was not attempted since the large asymmetry parameter so clearly rules out a bridged pentacoordinate structure with equatorial phenyl groups.

It is interesting to compare the reactions of (II) and (IV) with  $Ph_3SnOH$  in refluxing benzene. In the former case cleavage of one of the tin-phenyl bonds results and the sole product isolated is  $Ph_2Sn(Salen)$ , shown by melting point, analytical data, IR and Mössbauer data (Table 3) to be identical to a sample of  $Ph_2Sn(Salen)$ prepared in the usual way from  $Ph_2SnCl_2$ . In the latter case, where the ligand is potentially only terdentate, the  $Ph_3Sn$  moiety remains intact. Thus, in their organotin complexes both anionic ligands appear to have strong tendencies to act as chelating groups using their maximum ligating abilities, rather than as bidentate groups bridging two organotin fragments. Similar behaviour is observed in tin (IV) halide complexes with (II) of the type  $SnX_2$  (Salen) (X = Cl, Br, I), in which the Salen<sup>2-</sup> ion is quadridentate and the halogens occupy *trans* positions<sup>31</sup>. On the other hand the neutral Schiff bases (I) and (II) appear to be only bidentate in adducts of the types  $R_2SnCl_2(H_2L)^{4.5}$  and  $SnX_4(H_2L)$  (X = Cl, Br, I)<sup>31</sup>.

The rather low isomer shift values for the Schiff base complexes, compared with other five- and six-coordinate di-organotin derivatives, indicates a reduction in the effective "s" electron density at the tin nucleus. Since no large variation in tinnitrogen bonding is anticipated this reduction may be attributed to highly polar tin-oxygen bonds, resulting in an increased removal of "s" electron density from tin. This interpretation is supported by the rather long Sn-O bond lengths observed<sup>9</sup> in Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) (2.19–2.25 Å), as compared to some other Sn-O bonds [Me<sub>2</sub>Sn-(Ox)<sub>2</sub><sup>32</sup>, 2.11 Å; (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>3</sub>SnOCOMe<sup>33</sup>, 2.12 Å]. In addition, the variation in the two Sn-C bond lengths (2.06 and 2.19 Å) in Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) reported by Calligaris *et al.*<sup>9</sup> is interesting. The former is very short, even shorter than that found for Me<sub>2</sub>-SnF<sub>2</sub><sup>34</sup> and much shorter than that observed for Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Ox)<sub>2</sub><sup>32</sup> (2.16 Å). The distortion of the Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(Salen) molecule, presumably arising largely from the ligand geometry, may cause an increase in the "p" orbital mixing in the tin-carbon bonds with a concomitant screening of the "s" electron density and a lower isomer shift.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Mrs. A. Sallos and Miss P. Watson for technical assistance. Financial support from the National Research Council of Canada is acknowledged.

### REFERENCES

- 1 K. Kawakami and R. Okawara, J. Organometal. Chem., 6 (1966) 249.
- 2 R. C. Poller and J. N. R. Ruddick, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2273.
- 3 K. Kawakami, M. Miya-Uchi, and T. Tanaka, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 33 (1971) 3773.
- 4 P. J. Smith and D. Dodd, J. Organometal. Chem., 32 (1971) 195.
- 5 R. Barbieri, R. Cefalu, S. C. Chandra, and R. H. Herber, J. Organometal. Chem., 32 (1971) 97.
- 6 L. Roncucci, G. Faraglia, and R. Barbieri, J. Organometal. Chem., 1 (1964) 427.
- 7 A. van den Bergen, R. J. Cozens, and K. S. Murray, J. Chen. Soc. A, (1970) 3060.
- 8 R. Barbieri and R. H. Herber, J. Organometal. Chem., 42 (1972) 65.
- 9 M. Calligaris, G. Nardin, and L. Randaccio, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., (1972) 2003.
- 10 K. Dey, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 32 (1970) 3125.
- 11 W. R. Cullen, D. A. Harbourne, B. V. Liengme and J. R. Sams, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 95.
- 12 J. C. Scott, Thesis, University of British Columbia, 1973.
- 13 R. T. Conley, "Infrared Spectroscopy", Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1972, p. 250 ff.
- 14 K. Ueno and A. E. Martell, J. Phys. Chem., 60 (1956) 1270.
- 15 F. Di Bianca, E. Rivarola, G. C. Stocco, and R. Barbieri, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 387 (1972) 126.

- 16 N. S. Biradar and V. H. Kulkarni, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 33 (1971) 3781.
- 17 G. C. Percy and D. A. Thornton, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 34 (1972) 3357.
- 18 G. C. Percy and D. A. Thornton, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 34 (1972) 3369.
- 19 M. M. McGrady and R. S. Tobias, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87 (1965) 1909.
- 20 R. C. Poller, Spectrochim. Acta, 22 (1966) 935.
- 21 B. V. Liengme, R. S. Randall, and J. R. Sams, Con. J. Chem., 50 (1972) 3212.
- 22 M. K. Das, J. Buckle, and P. G. Harrison, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 6 (1972) 17.

246 J. N. R. RUDDICK, J. R. SAMS

23 R. J. H. Clark, A. G. Davies, and R. J. Puddephatt, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 1828.

24 S. C. Jain and R. Rivest; J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 29 (1967) 2787.

25 D. V. Naik and C. Curran, Inorg. Chem., 10 (1971) 1017.

26 P. J. Smith, Organometal Chem. Rev. A, 5 (1970) 373

27 R. C. Poller, The Chemistry of Organotin Compounds, Logos Press, London, 1970, p. 246 ft.

28 R. V. Parish and R. H. Platt, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4 (1970) 65.

29 R. C. Poller and J. N. R. Ruddick, J. Organometal. Chem., 39 (1972) 121.

30 J. R. Sams, in C. A. McDowell (Ed.), MTP International Review of Science, Phys. Chem. Ser. One. Vol. 4, Chap. 3, Butterworths, London, 1972.

31 J. N. R. Ruddick and J. R. Sams, to be published.

32 E. O. Schlemper, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 2012.

33 N. W. Alcock and R. E. Timms, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 1876.

34 E. O. Schlemper and W. C. Hamilton, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 995.

35 B. W. Fitzsimmons, N. J. Seeley and A. W. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 43.

36 R. H. Herber and H. A. Stöckler, J. Chem. Phys., 47 (1967) 1567.

37 R. C. Poller, J. N. R. Ruddick, M. Thevarasa and W. R. McWhinnie, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2327.

38 K. M. Ali, D. Cunningham, J. D. Donaldson, M. J. Frazer and B. J. Senior, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2836.

39 N. W. G. Debye, E. Rosenberg and J. J. Zuckerman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 3234.